# **Liberty High**

# California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

#### **Contact Information - Most Recent Year**

District Contact Inf	District Contact Information - Most Recent Year				
District Name	Liberty Union High				
Phone Number	(925) 634-2166				
Superintendent	Eric Volta				
E-mail Address	<u>voltae@luhsd.net</u>				
Web Site	www.libertyuhsd.k12.ca.us				
Web Site www.libertyuhsd.k12.ca.us					

School Contact Information - Most Recent Year				
School Name	Liberty High			
Street	850 Second St.			
City, State, Zip	Brentwood, Ca, 94513-1132			
Phone Number	925-634-3521			
Principal	Patrick Walsh, Principal			
E-mail Address	walshp@libertyuhsd.k12.ca.us			
County-District- School (CDS) Cod	07617210733980 le			


Last updated: 4/28/2016

#### School Description and Mission Statement - Most Recent Year

Our mission is to graduate all students with a world-class, comprehensive education, ready for post-secondary excellence, through research-based instruction and a collaborative system of support.

# Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	642
Grade 10	639
Grade 11	618
Grade 12	621
Total Enrollment	2520



Last updated: 4/28/2016

# Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	6.2 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6 %
Asian	1.5 %
Filipino	1.8 %
Hispanic or Latino	37.9 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.4 %
White	45.0 %
Two or More Races	6.4 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36.3 %
English Learners	9.4 %
Students with Disabilities	15.7 %
Foster Youth	0.6 %

# A. Conditions of Learning


# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
  School facilities are maintained in good repair

## **Teacher Credentials**


Teachers	School		District	
	2013- 14	2014- 15	2015- 16	2015- 16
With Full Credential	98	106	109	356
Without Full Credential	2	2	2	6
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Last updated: 5/13/2016

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2013- 14	2014- 15	2015- 16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	98.0%	2.0%
All Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.0%	1.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Ow Assigned Copy		
Reading/Language Arts	CA Holt Literature and Language Arts, Holt, Rinehart & Winston	No	0.0 %		
Mathematics	Algebra 1, BIG IDEAS Algebra 1 Common Core, Larson	Yes	0.0 %		
	Geometry, BIG IDEAS Geometry Common Core, Larson				
	Algebra 2, BIG IDEAS Algebra 2 Common Core, Larson				
	Pre-Calculus – Functions and Graphs, Cengage, (Thompson Learning), Brooks/Cole				
	AP Calculus – Calculus, Graphical, Numerical, Algebraic, Finney, Demana, Waits, Kennedy, Addison Wesley Longman				
	Statistics – Modeling the World, Prentice Hall, Bock, Velleman De Veaux				
	AP Statistics, Modeling the World, Prentice Hall, Bock, Velleman De Veaux				
Science	Earth Science, Holt Earth Science CA, Allison, Degaetano, Pasachoff	No	0.0 %		
	Biology, Biology, McDougal Littell				
	Chemistry, Prentice Hall Chemistry CA edition, Wilbraham, Staley, Matta, Watterman, Prentice Hall/ Pearson Education				
	Physics, Holt Physics, Serway and Faughn				
	Environmental Science, Holt, Rinehart, Winston				
	AP Biology – Biology: The Unity and Diversity of Life, Star and Taggart, Wadsworth Publishing				
	AP Chemistry, Xumdahl, D.C. Health				
	Biotechnology – Science for the New Millenium				
	Entomology – Fundamentals of Entomology, Prentice Hall, Richard J. Elizinga				
	A Survey of Entomology, Writers Club Press, Frank Young, Gene Kritsky, 12/2006				
History-Social Science	World History – Patterns of Interaction, McDougall Littell, Beck	No	0.0 %		
	US History – The Americans, McDougall Littell, Danzer				
	American Government – Magruder's Government, Prentice Hall, Magruder				
	Economics – Principles & Policies, McGraw Hill, 2015, 20th AP edition				
	AP World History – World Civilizations the Global Experience, Prentice Hall, Stearns				
	AP US History – The Enduring Vision, 5th edition, McDougall, Littell, Boyer				

	AP Economics – Economics, 16th edition, Glencoe, McConnell and Brue		
	AP Government – American Government, 10th edition, McDougall, Littell, Wilson, and Dilulio		
	AP European History – History of Western Society, McKay		
Foreign Language	Spanish 1-4 – Realidades, Pearson	Yes	0.0 %
	French 1-4 – T'es Branche, EMC/Paradign Publisher		
	German 1-3 – Portfolio Deutch, Klett-Langenschiedt		
	Mandarin Chinese 1-3 – Zehn Bang		
Health	Health – Glencoe Health, Glencoe, Mary. H. Bronson	No	0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	Intro to Art History – Discovering Art History, Davis Publishing	No	0.0 %
	Theater Arts 1, 2, 3 – Basic Drama Projects, 8th edition, Tanner, Fran Averett, Perfection Learning Corporation		
	Beginning Art – Art Fundamentals, 9th edition, McGraw Hill, 2002		
	Intermediate Art – The Annotated mona Lisa, Andrews & McMeel, Carol Strikland		
	Advanced Painting & Drawing – Discovering Art History, 2nd edition, Gerald F. Brommer, Davis Publishing Inc.		
	AP Art History – Garderner's Art Through the Ages, 10,12,13 & 15 editions, De la Croix and Tansey, Harcourt Brace		
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	Earth Science, Holt Earth Science CA, Allison, Degaetano, Pasachoff	No	0.0 %
	Biology, McDougall Littell		
	Chemistry, Prentice Hall CA, Wilbraham, Staley, Matta, Watterman, Prentice Hall/Pearson Ed.		
	Physics, Holt, Serway and Faughn		
	Environmental Science, Holt, Rinehart, Winston		
	AP Biology – Biology: The Unity and Diversity of Life, Star and Taggart, Wadsworth Publishing		
	AP Chemistry, Zumdahl, D.C. Health		
	Biotechnology – Science for the New Millenium		
	Entomology – Fundamentals of Entomology, Prentice Hall, Richard J. Elizinga		
	A Survey of Entomology, Writers Club Press, Frank Young, Gene Kritsky, 12/2006		

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements - Most Recent Year

A walk-thru is completed two times a year addressing school facility conditions. The site is evaluated and any facilities that need repair are listed on the Facility Inspection Tool. The results of this survey are available at the district office. Planned remedial action and a timeline are set by the site and district. The site and the district are committed to provide safe, clean, adequate and functional facilities to staff and students. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. An online work order process isused to ensure efficient service and the emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The Supervisor of Maintenance and Operations works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. The Williams Uniform Complaint form, regarding facilities, can be picked up at the Principal's Office.

Campus overall in sound condition with the exception of walkways, paths and tennis court surfaces. Many areas are cracked, raised or pitted making surfaces unlevel. There are sign that roofing needs to be assessed as many roof show signs to be past life expectancy.

Last updated: 5/13/2016

#### School Facility Good Repair Status - Most Recent Year

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Poor	Campus overall in sound condition with the exception of walkways, paths and tennis court surfaces. Many areas are cracked,raised or pitted making surfaces unlevel.

#### **Overall Facility Rate - Most Recent Year**

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015

Overall Rating

Fair

# **B.** Pupil Outcomes

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards		
Subject	School	District	State
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	63.0%	60.0%	44.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	31.0%	30.0%	33.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

#### ELA - Grade 11

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	591	570	96.4%	13.0%	22.0%	34.0%	29.0%
Male	591	273	46.2%	17.0%	23.0%	30.0%	29.0%
Female	591	297	50.3%	10.0%	22.0%	37.0%	29.0%
Black or African American	591	37	6.3%	30.0%	32.0%	22.0%	14.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	591	4	0.7%				
Asian	591	6	1.0%				
Filipino	591	13	2.2%	8.0%	15.0%	38.0%	38.0%
Hispanic or Latino	591	219	37.1%	20.0%	28.0%	31.0%	19.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	591	1	0.2%				
White	591	239	40.4%	6.0%	16.0%	39.0%	37.0%
Two or More Races	591	50	8.5%	6.0%	16.0%	30.0%	46.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	591	220	37.2%	22.0%	28.0%	30.0%	18.0%
English Learners	591	54	9.1%	54.0%	37.0%	7.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	591	75	12.7%	48.0%	35.0%	9.0%	5.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	591	3	0.5%				
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

\* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

#### **Mathematics - Grade 11**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Achievement Level 1*	Percent Achievement Level 2*	Percent Achievement Level 3*	Percent Achievement Level 4*
All Students	591	562	95.1%	36.0%	32.0%	23.0%	8.0%
Male	591	270	45.7%	38.0%	27.0%	26.0%	9.0%
Female	591	292	49.4%	34.0%	37.0%	21.0%	7.0%
Black or African American	591	36	6.1%	61.0%	31.0%	6.0%	3.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	591	4	0.7%				
Asian	591	6	1.0%				
Filipino	591	13	2.2%	31.0%	15.0%	54.0%	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	591	217	36.7%	50.0%	30.0%	16.0%	4.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	591	1	0.2%				
White	591	235	39.8%	23.0%	37.0%	29.0%	10.0%
Two or More Races	591	49	8.3%	16.0%	29.0%	33.0%	20.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	591	215	36.4%	48.0%	31.0%	16.0%	5.0%
English Learners	591	53	9.0%	91.0%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	591	73	12.4%	77.0%	11.0%	8.0%	3.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	591	3	0.5%				
Foster Youth							

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

\* Level 1 = Standard not met

Level 2 = Standard nearly met

Level 3 = Standard met

Level 4 = Standard exceeded

### California Standards Tests for All Students in Science – Three-Year Comparison

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							rds)	
		School			District			State	
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	60.0%	60.0%	59.0%	66.0%	66.0%	64.0%	59.0%	60.0%	56.0%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 4/29/2016

# California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	64.0%
All Students at the School	59.0%
Male	55.0%
Female	63.0%
Black or African American	37.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	69.0%
Filipino	81.0%
Hispanic or Latino	48.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	-
White	68.0%
Two or More Races	64.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	48.0%
English Learners	10.0%
Students with Disabilities	22.0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	-
Foster Youth	-

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Liberty High School is proud to have 4 different academies, focused upon the following areas:

- TLC (Teaching and Learning Careers) Careers in education and other related fields
- PADA (Public Art and Design Academy) Careers related to public art
- HSA (Health Sciences Academy) Careers related to the medical and healthcare industries
- BizTech (The Business and Technology Academy) has several pathways for a wide variety of opportunities in business, technology, and related industries
- VE (Virtual Enterprise) an in-school, live, global business simulation which transforms students into business professionals

Both the TLC and PADA are official California Partnership Academies.

Academy students participate in job shadowing, mentorship, internships, field trips, and hands-on experiences. Students who complete a pathway receive a seal on their diplomas. Some pathways also give students the opportunity to earn industry certification. All course sequences prepare students for post-secondary education and are aligned with the California Career Technical Standards. Many courses meet elective credit for CSU/UC admission. Liberty High School has developed articulation agreements which allow students in some academies to earn college credit for their coursework.

Last updated: 5/13/2016

#### **Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2014-15)**

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	1710
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	98.0%
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	14.0%

#### Last updated: 5/13/2016

#### Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	97.6%
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	44.6%

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):


• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education

#### California High School Exit Examination Results For Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

			Percen	t of Students	Scoring at Pr	oficient or Ad	vanced		
	School			District			State		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English Language Arts	61.0%	55.0%	62.0%	65.0%	48.0%	51.0%	57.0%	56.0%	58.0%
Mathematics	66.0%	58.0%	59.0%	62.0%	49.0%	49.0%	60.0%	62.0%	59.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.





#### California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2014-15) (if

#### applicable)

	Eng	lish Language Art	S	Mathematics			
Student Group	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Not Proficient	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	35.0%	29.0%	36.0%	39.0%	44.0%	18.0%	
All Students at the School	38.0%	30.0%	31.0%	41.0%	43.0%	17.0%	
Male	49.0%	30.0%	21.0%	46.0%	41.0%	14.0%	
Female	28.0%	31.0%	41.0%	36.0%	44.0%	20.0%	
Black or African American	49.0%	26.0%	26.0%	67.0%	25.0%	8.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Asian	27.0%	36.0%	36.0%	18.0%	36.0%	45.0%	
Filipino	9.0%	45.0%	45.0%	9.0%	64.0%	27.0%	
Hispanic or Latino	49.0%	29.0%	22.0%	54.0%	36.0%	10.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
White	29.0%	33.0%	38.0%	30.0%	49.0%	21.0%	
Two or More Races	32.0%	26.0%	41.0%	26.0%	49.0%	26.0%	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55.0%	27.0%	18.0%	57.0%	33.0%	10.0%	
English Learners	94.0%	6.0%	0.0%	82.0%	18.0%	0.0%	
Students with Disabilities	93.0%	4.0%	3.0%	91.0%	9.0%	0.0%	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Foster Youth	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less.

Last updated: 4/29/2016

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

	Perc	cent of Students Meeting Fitness Standard	is
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	16.6%	26.3%	36.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement - Most Recent Year**

Parents play a vital role in the success of our students and Liberty is fortunate to have strong parental support. Organizations with parent participation, such as Parent's Club, Athletic Boosters, Band Boosters, 80 Volts, FFA, and the School Advisory Council serve a wide variety of programs. In addition, parents may our office for other volunteer opportunities. Parents can also track student progress and attendance electronically, through our web-based reporting software.

Parents may contact the school directly by calling 925-634-3521 or by accessing our website at luhsd.net/liberty to obtain more information or make direct contact.

# **State Priority: Pupil Engagement**


Last updated: 5/13/2016

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

#### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School			School District				State			
Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14		
Dropout Rate	1.7%	1.1%	0.7%	3.5%	4.5%	4.0%	13.1%	11.4%	11.5%		
Graduation Rate	93.90	92.70	94.30	86.30	86.90	88.80	78.87	80.44	80.95		



# **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

	Graduating Class of 2014		
Student Group	School	District	State
All Students	83	84	84
Black or African American	61	73	76
American Indian or Alaska Native	86	53	78
Asian	100	91	92
Filipino	78	90	96
Hispanic or Latino	40	83	81
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	38	100	83
White	84	88	89
Two or More Races	73	92	82
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	80	81
English Learners	91	43	50
Students with Disabilities	90	59	61
Foster Youth			


# **State Priority: School Climate**


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

School					District			State		
Rate	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	
Suspensions	5.8	6.3	7.1	6.1	5.9	6.2	5.1	4.4	3.8	
Expulsions	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	





#### Last updated: 4/29/2016

# School Safety Plan - Most Recent Year

Each site updates their Emergency and School Safety Plans on a yearly basis. Standard Operating Procedures (S.O.P.) were developed for all staff members dealing with safety issues. Quarterly, the District meets with students to address safety issues on campus.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	
Met Participation Rate - English Language Arts	Yes	Yes	
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient - English Language Arts	N/A	N/A	
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	N/A	N/A	
Met Attendance Rate			
Met Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes	

#### Last updated: 4/29/2016

# Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	0.0%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2012-13			2013-14			2014-15						
		Number of Classes *			Number of Classes *			Number of Classes *				
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	26.0	28	31	33	28.0	23	29	48	27.0	28	31	50
Mathematics	30.0	10	25	46	29.0	15	40	38	21.0	21	5	8
Science	30.0	7	22	29	29.0	7	37	22	30.0	8	51	12
Social Science	30.0	10	16	38	31.0	10	13	45	30.0	11	19	48

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 4/29/2016

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	4.0	598.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0.3	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1.0	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.0	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Last updated: 5/13/2016

# Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

		Expenditures Per					
Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary			
School Site	\$7844.3	\$1707.1	\$6137.2	\$66691.0			
District	N/A	N/A	\$0.0	\$67084.0			
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0%	-0.5%			
State	N/A	N/A	\$5348.0	\$74908.0			
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A					

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)


The Liberty Union High School District spends approximately \$7844.32 annually per student for this site. This includes all education costs ranging from direct salaries of teachers, custodial and maintenance, facilities, utilities, and administration. It also includes costs of support services such as counseling, library and media services, and special State and Federal categorical funds.


Last updated: 5/13/2016

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,260	\$44,363
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,574	\$71,768
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,278	\$92,368
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		-
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$121,276
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$126,660	\$133,673
Superintendent Salary	\$174,038	\$210,998
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.0%	36.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





## Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	8	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	1	N/A
Foreign Language	2	N/A
Mathematics	5	N/A
Science	5	N/A
Social Science	13	N/A
All Courses	34	0.7%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*Where there are student course enrollments.

Note : AP means Advanced Placement.

Last updated: 4/29/2016

# **Professional Development – Most Recent Three Years**

Focus areas for professional development are based on our Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), assessment data, our district Strategic Plan, and the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). We have initiated content coaches for ELA, Science and Math to help focus on improving student achievement and improving the delivery of curriculum. In addition, we hold two district-wide Professional Development Days during the school year. Each school site also has Professional Learning Communities (PLC's) for the purpose of teacher collaboration, data analysis, sharing best and curriculum development.